



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,193	02/19/2004	Hyo-Sun Hwang	12000.SMG.0008	4103
48356 7590 09/09/2009 MCNEELY BODENDORF LLP P.O. BOX 34175 WASHINGTON, DC 20043				
EXAMINER				
MOUTAOUAKIL, MOUNIR				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2419				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,193	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HWANG ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b> MOUNIR MOUTAOUAKIL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2419

***--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --***

THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
 7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
 Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.  
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
see continuation.  
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
 13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419

Continuation of section 11: Applicants' representative contends that the prior arts of record fails to teach or describe "aggregating at least two data packets having a same destination and identical quality of service information from among the plurality of received data packets to form a single aggregated packet". Examiner respectfully disagrees, the prior art of record, Ketcham, discloses a method of aggregating packets having the same destination (see col.2, lines 46-55). Ketcham discloses a method where routers are capable of aggregating packets as long they share one common destination. As previously mentioned, Ketcham teaches all the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception that the aggregated packets further contain identical QOS of service parameter. However, Kajizami, from the same field of endeavor, was introduced to further teach the possibility of aggregating packets based on QOS parameters. Kajizami teaches among other teachings (aggregating packets destined toward the same destination) " a routing processing unit 8 extracts a D bit (one bit) from the type of service field (sep 1100), and examines whether it indicates normal delay (value: 0) or low delay (value: 1) (step 1120). If it indicates low delay, the packet is recognized as being a priority packet, and the combine allow/disallow determining unit 16 determines that the packet should not be combined, and sends the packet directly to the transmit driver 5 (step 1124). At this time, the real time route is selected from the plurality of routes shown in the routing table of FIG. 17 as being selectable for the network D (step 1122). In the case of normal delay, it is determined that the packet is a non-priority packet, and a non-real time route is selected (step 1126), after which the packet is sent to the combining unit 11 (step 1128). Example of performing the path selection based on the network condition:" (see col.7, lines 48-60). Kajizami clearly states that the combined packets have an identical normal delay (value: 0), which represents the quality of service information.

Therefore, the claims rejection is maintained as the prior art of record teaches all the limitations of the claimed invention.